# Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/US05/003889

International filing date: 07 February 2005 (07.02.2005)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: US
Number: 60/542,317
Filing date: 07 February 2004 (07.02.2004)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 11 March 2005 (11.03.2005)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)

World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse

1290433

# THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

*March 01, 2005*

**THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED HERETO IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE OF THOSE PAPERS OF THE BELOW IDENTIFIED PATENT APPLICATION THAT MET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRANTED A FILING DATE.**

**APPLICATION NUMBER:** *60/542,317*
**FILING DATE:** *February 07, 2004*
**RELATED PCT APPLICATION NUMBER:** *PCT/US05/03889*

Certified by

Jon W. Dudas

Under Secretary of Commerce
for Intellectual Property
and Director of the United States
Patent and Trademark Office

# PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT COVER SHEET

This is a request for filing a PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT under 37 CFR 1.53(c).

Express Mail Label No.



| INVENTOR(S) | | |
|---|---|---|
| Given Name (first and middle [if any]) | Family Name or Surname | Residence (City and either State or Foreign Country) |
| XIAODONG | LI | KIRKLAND, WA |

*Additional inventors are being named on the* 1 *separately numbered sheets attached hereto*

**TITLE OF THE INVENTION (500 characters max)**

METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR MULTI-CARRIER COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS WITH ARQ

*Direct all correspondence to:* **CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS**

☐ Customer Number:

*OR*

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ☒ Firm or Individual Name | WALBELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. | | | | |
| Address | 1750 112th AVE, NE | | | | |
| Address | SUITE D159 | | | | |
| City | BELLEVUE | State | WA | Zip | 98004 |
| Country | USA | Telephone | 425 451 8278 | Fax | 425 451 8254 |

**ENCLOSED APPLICATION PARTS *(check all that apply)***

☒ Specification *Number of Pages* 6 ☐ CD(s), Number

☒ Drawing(s) *Number of Sheets* 6 ☐ Other (specify)

☐ Application Data Sheet. See 37 CFR 1.76

**METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FILING FEES FOR THIS PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT**

☒ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.

☒ A check or money order is enclosed to cover the filing fees.

☐ The Director is herby authorized to charge filing fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number:

☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

FILING FEE Amount ($): 80.00

The invention was made by an agency of the United States Government or under a contract with an agency of the United States Government.

☒ No.

☐ Yes, the name of the U.S. Government agency and the Government contract number are:



*Respectfully submitted,*

SIGNATURE

TYPED or PRINTED NAME XIAODONG LI

TELEPHONE 425 451 8278

Date 2/6/2004

REGISTRATION NO. *(if appropriate)*

Docket Number:

***USE ONLY FOR FILING A PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT***

***PROVISIONAL APPLICATION COVER SHEET***
***Additional Page***

PTO/SB/16 (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| Docket Number |
|---|

| INVENTOR(S)/APPLICANT(S) | | |
|---|---|---|
| Given Name (first and middle [if any]) | Family or Surname | Residence (City and either State or Foreign Country) |
| HAIMING | HUANG | BELLEVUE, WA |
| TITUS | LO | REDMOND, WA |
| KEMIN | LI | BELLEVUE, WA |



Number 2 of 2

**WARNING:** Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

# Methods and Apparatus for Multi-Carrier Communication Systems with ARQ

## 1 Background of the Invention

ARQ (Automatic Repeat reQuest) schemes are often used in packet communication systems to improve the transmission reliability. Hybrid ARQ is a method that combines both forward error correction (FEC) and ARQ where the previously unsuccessful transmissions are used in FEC decoding instead of being discarded. It enhances the effectiveness of FEC decoding and allows FEC blocks to be sent at high error rate operating points (see Reference [1]).

One form of the hybrid ARQ is Chase combining where the transmitter retransmits the same coded data packet (see Reference [2]). The decoder at the receiver combines these multiple copies of the transmitted packet. Another form is called incremental redundancy where, instead of sending simple repeats of the coded data packet, progressive parity packets are sent in each subsequent transmission of the packet. The decoder then combines all the transmission and therefore decodes the packet at a lower code rate.

Hybrid ARQ normally involves physical layer and is a physical layer function which controls the FEC encoding and FEC decoding functions using an embedded physical layer fast feedback channel for control signaling. At times, physical layer hybrid ARQ FEC blocks will be retransmitted the maximum number of times without success; thus, it alone cannot provide error free delivery data but permits operation at lower signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR).

Medium access control (MAC) ARQ is an error control feature which retransmits erroneous MAC packet data unit (PDU) in a flexible fashion to achieve error free data delivery. MAC ARQ retransmissions may occur long after original transmission and the retransmission may be segmented and piggybacked on other MAC PDUS using the granularity of the defined ARQ block size.

## 2 Summary of the Invention

The present invention describes the methods and apparatus for hybrid ARQ in multi-carrier communication systems. It devises methods and apparatus on how to retransmit the erroneous packets by taking advantage of time, frequency, or space diversity. The invention also describes a hierarchical ARQ scheme, which combines the physical layer ARQ and the MAC ARQ and makes the multi-carrier systems more robust in high packet error environment.

The multi-carrier system mentioned in this invention can be of any special formats such as OFDM, or Multi-Carrier Code Division Multiple Access (MC-CDMA). The invention

can be applied to downlink, uplink, or both, where the duplexing technique can be either Time Division Duplexing (TDD) or Frequency Division Duplexing (FDD).

## 3 Brief Description of the Drawings

The present invention can be thoroughly understood from the detailed description given below and from the accompanying drawings of various embodiments of the invention, which, however, should not be taken to limit the invention to the specific embodiments, but are for explanation and understanding only.

Figure 1: The basic structure of a multi-carrier signal in the frequency domain is made up of subcarriers. Data subcarriers can be grouped into subchannels in a particular way. The pilot subcarriers are also distributed over the entire channel in a particular way.

Figure 2: The radio resource is divided into small units in both the frequency and time domains: subchannels and time slots. The basic structure of a multi-carrier signal in the time domain is made up of time slots.

Figure 3: A single ARQ process where the first transmission of the packet failed with an NACK feedback, and the second transmission of the packet (may or may not be of the same size) succeeded with an ACK feedback.

Figure 4: The system reserves at least one subchannel for the retransmission of the packets. In this case, Packet *p* and *q* from the same subscriber are transmitted in Frame *k*. Packet *p* failed and is retransmitted on the reserved channel in Frame *k+m*.

Figure 5: In this case, Packet *p* and *q* from the same subscriber are transmitted in Frame *k*. Packet *p* failed and Packet *q* succeeded. Packet *p* is retransmitted on the subchannel that was originally scheduled for Packet *r* in Frame *k+m*.

Figure 6: In this case, Packet *p* and *q* from the same subscriber are transmitted in Frame *k*. Packet *p* failed and is retransmitted on the same subchannel in Frame *k+m*.

## 4 Detailed Description

### 4.1 Multi-Carrier Communication System

The physical media resource (e.g., radio or cable) in a multi-carrier communication system can be divided in both the frequency and time domains. This canonical division provides a high flexibility and fine granularity for resource sharing.

The basic structure of a multi-carrier signal in the frequency domain is made up of subcarriers. Within a particular spectral band or channel, there are a fixed number of subcarriers. There are three types of subcarriers:

1. Data subcarriers, which carries information data;

2. Pilot subcarriers, whose phases and amplitudes are predetermined and made known to all receivers and which are used for assisting system functions such as estimation of system parameters; and
3. Silent subcarriers, which have no energy and are used for guard bands and DC carrier.

The data subcarriers can be arranged into groups called subchannels to support scalability and multiple access. The carriers forming one subchannel are not necessarily adjacent to each other. Each subscriber may use part or all of the subchannels. The concept is illustrated in Figure 1.

The basic structure of a multi-carrier signal in the time domain is made up of time slots to support multiple-access. The resource division in both the frequency and time domains is depicted in Figure 2.

Adaptive modulation and coding (AMC) is used to adjust the modulation and coding scheme to various channel conditions. It can be controlled for one individual subchannel or a group of subchannels. Table 1 is an example of the coding and modulation schemes in AMC and corresponding spectral efficiency in bits/s/Hz.

| Modulation Scheme | Code Rate | Bits/s/Hz |
|---|---|---|
| QPSK | 1/8 | 1/4 |
| QPSK | 1/4 | 1/2 |
| QPSK | ½ | 1 |
| 16QAM | ½ | 2 |
| 16QAM | ¾ | 3 |
| 64QAM | 2/3 | 4 |
| 64QAM | 5/6 | 5 |

Table 1: Examples of coding and modulation schemes in adaptive modulation and coding control

Figure 3 illustrates a single ARQ process where the first transmission of the packet failed with an NACK feedback, and the second transmission of the packet (may or may not be of the same size) succeeded with an ACK feedback

### 4.2 Detailed Description of the ARQ scheme

In a multi-carrier system, multiple subchannels can be used to transmit packets. In the present invention, hybrid ARQ is used for at least one of the subchannels. Without loss

of generality, we consider one such subchannel, designated as $SC_i$. For each of the packets transmitted over $SC_i$, the receiver performs the receiving process corresponding to the transmission process, and then performs error detection on the received packet. Based on the detection result, an acknowledgement (ACK or NACK) signal is provided on the return channel to inform the transmitter whether the reception of this particular packet is successful (ACK) or failed (NACK).

In one embodiment, a channel quality indicator (CQI) is transmitted along with the ACK/NACK signal to assist the selection of subchannels for the retransmission of the failed packet or the transmission of the next packet. The channel quality can be a function of one or more of the following: the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR), bit error rate, symbol error rate, packet error rate, pilot signal power level, or signal mean square error that are measured based on the previous packet(s).

After the transmitter receives the NACK signal, it selects another subchannel, say $SC_j$, and retransmits the failed packet. A different subchannel is used for retransmission because it may have a different channel response and experience interference level, thereby creating frequency and time diversity effects which can be utilized at the receiver to improve the performance. At the receiver, the previously received signals, which have been stored at the physical layer, and the newly received retransmission signals are combined for the demodulation and decoding of the packet. In one embodiment, Chase combining is used where the soft samples of the same packet from previous transmission(s) and the current retransmission are combined coherently to provide additional diversity gain. In another embodiment, incremental redundancy is used where progressive parity packets are sent in each subsequent transmission of the packet. The retransmission process and the receiving process can continue until the packet is successfully received or a pre-specified number of retransmission has been reached.

In one embodiment, the transmitter reconfigures a subchannel for retransmission. This reconfiguration can be carried out in any combination of time, frequency, space, signal power, modulation, coding, or other signal domains. For example, in case of orthogonal frequency division multiple access (OFDMA), the transmitter can change the subcarrier composition of a subchannel. The newly composed subchannel may contain different subcarriers in terms of number, location, or other manners. The newly composed subchannel may also contain different training pilots in terms of number, location, or other manners.

In one embodiment, the transmitter randomly selects $SC_j$ from the subchannels available to the transmitter for the retransmission.

In one embodiment, the transmitter knows the CQI of all or some of the subchannels, and accordingly selects a subchannel for retransmission in such a way that the system efficiency can be maximized. For example, the subchannel with the best quality is assigned for retransmission of the packet that has been failed for multiple times.

In one embodiment, the system reserves at least one subchannel for the retransmission of the packets. This scheme is illustrated in Figure 4: Packet $p$ and $q$ from the same subscriber are transmitted in Frame $k$; Packet $p$ failed and is retransmitted on the

reserved channel in Frame $k+m$. A certain means is taken to improve the channel quality of these reserved subchannels. In one embodiment, a higher frequency reuse factor is used for these reserved subchannels in a multi-cell environment to reduce the impact of inter-cell interference. For instance, when the regular subchannels have a reuse factor of 1, the reserved subchannels have a reuse factor of 3. The transmitter may select $SC_j$ randomly from the reserved subchannels, or select $SC_j$ with sufficiently high quality if the transmitter knows the CQI of all or some of the reserved subchannels. In one embodiment, the transmitter uses a modulation/coding/power scheme that matches the channel quality of that subchannel(s), in which case the retransmitted packet is fitted into the subchannel(s) by rate matching such as repetition or puncturing.

In one embodiment, the transmitter is allocated at least two subchannels by the system. Upon receiving a NACK signal indicating the need of retransmission, the transmitter swaps the transmission of the two subchannels $SC_i$ and $SC_j$; namely, it sends the retransmission over $SC_j$ and sends the packet originally scheduled for $SC_j$ over $SC_i$. This scheme is illustrated in Figure 5: Packet $p$ and $q$ from the same subscriber are transmitted in Frame $k$; Packet $p$ failed and Packet $q$ succeeded; then Packet $p$ is retransmitted on the subchannel that was originally scheduled for Packet $r$ in Frame $k+m$.

In one embodiment, the retransmission over $SC_j$ uses the same settings, such as modulation, coding, and power, as the previous transmission over $SC_j$. When the packet size is different between the current transmission and previous transmission on $SC_j$ , rate matching is used to fit the current retransmitted packet onto $SC_j$.

There are cases where it may be desirable for the transmitter to stay on the original subchannel for the retransmission. This scheme is illustrated in Figure 6: Packet $p$ and $q$ from the same subscriber are transmitted in Frame $k$; Packet $p$ failed and is retransmitted on the same subchannel in Frame $k+m$. In one embodiment, there are no other subchannels available to the transmitter at the time of retransmission, so the transmitter selects $SC_j = SC_i$. In another embodiment, the transmitter has the knowledge about the quality of all or some of the subchannels, and finds that the quality of $SC_i$ is good or even the best among all the subchannels, so the transmitter selects $SC_j = SC_i$. In yet another embodiment, the channel quality of $SC_i$ is good and the modulation/coding index is high (16QAM or 64QAM), so the transmitter selects $SC_j = SC_i$. It should be note, however, that the transmitter may lower down the modulation/coding scheme in the case of retransmission based on the channel quality report from previously transmitted packets on the said subchannel.

In one embodiment, multiple subscribers may share one subchannel through, for example, time division multiplexing. Then multiple ARQ processes, each corresponding to a subscriber, can be carried out in parallel. The above described methods for retransmission can also be applied.

In the above methods, several different retransmission schemes are described. In one embodiment, which retransmission scheme to use is dictated through higher layer messaging so that the receiver knows which subchannel the retransmitted packet uses. In another embodiment, the retransmission information is embedded in the header of each retransmitted packet.

In one embodiment, a hierarchical ARQ process is implemented for a packet stream. The process includes an outer loop and at least one, possibly multiple, inner loops. The outer loop operates at higher layer, for example, the radio link protocol (RLP) layer, and uses a traditional ARQ, for example, sliding window selective-retransmission ARQ. The inner loops operate at a lower layer, for example, the physical layer, and uses hybrid ARQ with retransmission methods described in the above embodiments.

The parameters for both outer and inner loops can be changed depending on applications or unit processing capabilities. For example, the number of retransmissions for the inner loop is less for delay-sensitive applications than for other delay-insensitive applications using TCP. In one embodiment, the outer loop is removed for the UDP packet stream such as VoIP packets.

## 5 Reference

[1] S. B. Wicker, Error Control Systems for Digital Communication and Storage, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1995.

[2] D. Chase, "Code Combing: A maximum-likelihood decoding approach for combining an arbitrary number of noisy packets," *IEEE Trans. on Commun.*, Vol. 33, pp.593-607, May, 1985.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Single ARQ Process

Figure 3


Frame k
User Data Queue
MAC Scheduler
Packet p
Packet q
f
t
Reserved Channel
Frame k+m
User Data Queue
MAC Scheduler
Packet r
Packet s
Retx P p
f
t
Reserved Channel

Figure 4


Frame k
User Data Queue
MAC Scheduler
Packet p
Packet q
f
t
Frame k+m
User Data Queue
MAC Scheduler
Packet r
Retx P p
f
t

Figure 5


Frame k
User Data Queue
MAC Scheduler
Packet p
Packet q
f
t
Frame k+m
User Data Queue
MAC Scheduler
Retx P p
Packet r
f
t

Figure 6

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

**(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)**



| Applicant's or agent's file reference<br>429388003WO | **FOR FURTHER ACTION** | See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) |
|---|---|---|
| International application No.<br>PCT/US05/03889 | International filing date *(day/month/year)*<br>07 February 2005 (07.02.2005) | Priority date *(day/month/year)*<br>07 February 2004 (07.02.2004) |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC<br>IPC(7): H04J 1/16, 3/14 and US Cl.: 370/235, 237 | | |
| Applicant<br>WALTICAL SOLUTIONS, INC. | | |

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.

   ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

   These annexes consist of a total of _____ sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

   - I ☒ Basis of the report
   - II ☐ Priority
   - III ☐ Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
   - IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
   - V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
   - VI ☐ Certain documents cited
   - VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
   - VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

| Date of submission of the demand | Date of completion of this report |
|---|---|
| 08 August 2005 (08.08.2005) | 09 November 2005 (09.11.2005) |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US<br>Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US<br>Commissioner for Patents<br>P.O. Box 1450<br>Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450<br>Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 | Authorized officer<br>Min Jung<br>Telephone No. 703-305-4750 |

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US05/03889

## I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

☐ the international application as originally filed.

☒ the description:
pages 1-10 as originally filed
pages none , filed with the demand
pages NONE , filed with the letter of _____.

☒ the claims:
pages 11-17 , as originally filed
pages none , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages none , filed with the demand
pages NONE , filed with the letter of

☒ the drawings:
pages 1-6 , as originally filed
pages none , filed with the demand
pages NONE , filed with the letter of _____.

☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages NONE , as originally filed
pages NONE , filed with the demand
pages NONE , filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).

☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).

☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

☐ contained in the international application in printed form.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.

☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.

☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

☒ the description, pages none

☒ the claims, Nos. none

☒ the drawings, sheets/~~fig~~ none

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

** Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).*

*** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.*

**V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. STATEMENT

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Novelty (N) | Claims 1-7 | YES |
| | Claims 8-24 | NO |
| Inventive Step (IS) | Claims none | YES |
| | Claims 1-24 | NO |
| Industrial Applicability (IA) | Claims 1-24 | YES |
| | Claims NONE | NO |

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 8-24 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Khan.

Regarding claim 8, Khan teaches a hybrid ARQ system that operates by retransmitting data packets. The system of Khan includes ACK/NACK messages [0016] and FEC [0045].

Regarding claim 9, Khan teaches modulation schemes [0028].

Regarding claims 10-14, Khan teaches selecting one of a number of channels for retransmission of failed packets [0032].

Regarding claims 14-22, Khan teaches channels selected for retransmission including NACK signals [0038], multiple ARQ schemes or processes [0016], header and FEC information used with the ARQ process [0045] (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 23, Khan teaches a hybrid ARQ system that operates by retransmitting data packets.

Regarding claim 24, Khan teaches a hybrid ARQ system that operates by retransmitting data packets. The system of Khan includes FEC [0045].

Claims 1-7 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Khan in view of Lee.

Regarding claim 1, Khan teaches a hybrid ARQ system that operates by retransmitting data packets. The system of Khan includes ACK/NACK messages [0016], FEC [0045], and BER and C/I measurements used to estimate the quality levels. Khan does not, however, disclose using a CQI indicator, specifically, to address the quality factors. Lee teaches a hybrid ARQ communication system that discloses a CQI indicator (see figure 6). Both of these two references disclose methods of improved efficiency in data communications systems. Therefore, combining these two references to achieve the claimed features of claim 1 would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to specifically provide an indicator for addressing the quality factors when implementing the system of Khan.

Regarding claims 2-7, Khan teaches delay sensitive ARQ processing [0016], retransmission of failed packets [0036], receiving a NACK message indicating the packet needed for retransmission [0038], TDM (see figure 7(b)).

---------- NEW CITATIONS ----------